arXiv:1605.06269v1 [math-ph] 20 May 2016

Regular biorthogonal pairs and Psuedo-bosonic operators

Hiroshi Inoue and Mayumi Takakura

Abstract

The first purpose of this paper is to show a method of constructing a regular biorthogonal pair based on the commutation rule: $ab-ba=I$ for a pair of operators a and b acting on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ with inner product $(\cdot|\cdot)$. Here, sequences $\{\phi_n\}$ and $\{\psi_n\}$ in a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ are biorthogonal if $(\phi_n|\psi_m)=\delta_{nm}$, $n,m=0,1,\cdots$, and they are regular if both $D_\phi \equiv Span\{\phi_n\}$ and $D_\psi \equiv Span\{\psi_n\}$ are dense in $\mathcal{H}$. Indeed, the assumption to construct the regular biorthogonal pair coincide with the definition of pseudo-bosons as originally given in Ref [8]. Furthermore, we study the connections between the pseudo-bosonic operators a, b, $a^\dagger$, $b^\dagger$ and the pseudo-bosonic operators defined by a regular biorthogonal pair $(\{\phi_n\}, \{\psi_n\})$ and an ONB $\boldsymbol{e}$ of $\mathcal{H}$ in appeared Ref [2]. The second purpose is to define and study the notion of $\mathcal{D}$-pseudo bosons in Ref [6, 5] and give a method of constructing $\mathcal{D}$-pseudo bosons on some steps. Then it is shown that for any ONB $\boldsymbol{e}=\{e_n\}$ in $\mathcal{H}$ and any operators T and T^{-1} in $\mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D})$, we may construct operators A and B satisfying $\mathcal{D}$-pseudo bosons, where $\mathcal{D}$ is a dense subspace in a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D})$ the set of all linear operators T from $\mathcal{D}$ to $\mathcal{D}$ such that $T^*\mathcal{D}\subset\mathcal{D}$, where T^* is the adjoint of T. Finally, we give some physical examples of $\mathcal{D}$-pseudo bosons based on standard bosons by the method of constructing $\mathcal{D}$-pseudo bosons stated above.

1 Introduction

In this paper, we shall show a method of constructing a regular biorthogonal pair based on the following commutation rule under some assumptions. Here, the commutation rule is that a pair of operators a and b acting on

a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ with inner product $(\cdot|\cdot)$ satisfies $ab - ba = I$. Indeed, the assumptions to construct the regular biorthogonal pair coincide with the definition of pseudo-bosons as originally given in Ref [8], where in the recent literature many researchers have investigated in Ref [6, 8, 7, 9, 11]. Furthermore, in Ref [2] the author have studied the general theory of operators $A_{\boldsymbol{e}}, B_{\boldsymbol{e}}, A_{\boldsymbol{e}}^\dagger, B_{\boldsymbol{e}}^\dagger, N_{\boldsymbol{e}}, N_{\boldsymbol{e}}^\dagger$ defined by a regular biorthogonal pair $(\{\phi_n\}, \{\psi_n\})$ and an ONB $\boldsymbol{e}$ in $\mathcal{H}$. Though we will describe the formulas of these operators $A_{\boldsymbol{e}}, B_{\boldsymbol{e}}, A_{\boldsymbol{e}}^\dagger, B_{\boldsymbol{e}}^\dagger, N_{\boldsymbol{e}}, N_{\boldsymbol{e}}^\dagger$ in detail in Section 2, the operators connect with *quasi-hermitian quantum mechanics* and its relatives. Many researchers have investigated such operators mathematically in Ref [1, 4, 2] Therefore, we study the connections between the operators $a,\ b,\ a^\dagger,\ b^\dagger$ and the operators $A_{\boldsymbol{e}},\ B_{\boldsymbol{e}},\ A_{\boldsymbol{e}}^\dagger,\ B_{\boldsymbol{e}}^\dagger$. By Proposition 2.1, (3) and Proposition 2.2, (3), we show that $\{a, b\}$ and $\{a^\dagger, b^\dagger\}$ have an algebraic structure, respectively, that is, algebras generated by $\{1, a\lceil_{D_\phi}, b\lceil_{D_\phi}\}$ and by $\{1, a^\dagger\lceil_{D_\psi}, b^\dagger\lceil_{D_\psi}\}$ are defined, respectively. However, $\{1, a, b, a^\dagger, b^\dagger\}$ do not have a $*$-algebraic structure, in general. From this reason, the second purpose is to define and study the notion of $\mathcal{D}$-pseudo bosons.[6, 5] Here, $\mathcal{D}$ is a dense subspace in a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. We denote by $\mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D})$ the set of all linear operators T from $\mathcal{D}$ to $\mathcal{D}$ such that $T^*\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{D}$, where T^* is the adjoint of T. $\mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D})$ is a $*$-algebra under the usual operators αT, $S + T$, ST and an involution $T^\dagger \equiv T^*\lceil_{\mathcal{D}}$, and it is called a maximal $\mathcal{O}^*$-algebra on $\mathcal{D}$.[3] A pair of operators a and b is said $\mathcal{D}$-pseudo bosons if $a, b \in \mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D})$ satisfy following conditions (i), (ii) and (iii):
(i) $ab - ba = I$.
(ii) There exists a non-zero element $\phi_0 \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $a\phi_0 = 0$.
(iii) There exists a non-zero element $\psi_0 \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $b^\dagger\psi_0 = 0$.
Furthermore, we show a method of constructing $\mathcal{D}$-pseudo bosons on some steps. Then it is shown that for any ONB $\boldsymbol{e} = \{e_n\}$ in $\mathcal{H}$ and any operators T and T^{-1} in $\mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D})$, we may construct operators A and B satisfying $\mathcal{D}$-pseudo bosons. Finally, we give some physical examples of $\mathcal{D}$-pseudo bosons based on a method of constructing $\mathcal{D}$-pseudo bosons for some steps.

This article is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce a method of constructing a regular biorthogonal pair based on the commutation rule under some assumption. And we investigate the connections between the operators $a,\ b,\ a^\dagger,\ b^\dagger$ and the operators $A_{\boldsymbol{e}},\ B_{\boldsymbol{e}},\ A_{\boldsymbol{e}}^\dagger,\ B_{\boldsymbol{e}}^\dagger$. In Section 3, we define and study the notion of $\mathcal{D}$-pseudo bosons and a method of constructing $\mathcal{D}$-pseudo bosons for some steps. In Section 4, we give some physical examples of $\mathcal{D}$-pseudo bosons based on the method of constructing $\mathcal{D}$-pseudo bosons in Section 3.

2 Regular biorthogonal pairs and Pseudo-bosons

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a Hilbert space with inner product $(\cdot|\cdot)$. We introduce a pair of operators a and b acting on $\mathcal{H}$ satisfying the following commutation rules

$$ab - ba = I.$$

In particular, this collapses to the canonical commutation rule (CCR) if $b = a^\dagger$. Furthermore, we introduce the notions of biorthogonal sequences and the regularity as follows: Sequences $\{\phi_n\}$ and $\{\psi_n\}$ in a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ are biorthogonal if $(\phi_n|\psi_m) = \delta_{nm}$, $n, m = 0, 1, \cdots$, and they are regular if both $Span\{\phi_n\}$ and $Span\{\psi_n\}$ are dense in $\mathcal{H}$. Then $(\{\phi_n\}, \{\psi_n\})$ is said to be a regular biorthogonal pair. We construct biorthogonal pairs based on the above commutation rule under some assumptions. At first, we assume the following statement.

Assumption 1. There exists a non-zero element ϕ_0 of $\mathcal{H}$ such that
(i) $a\phi_0 = 0$,
(ii) $\phi_0 \in D^\infty(b) \equiv \cap_{k=0}^\infty D(b^k)$,
(iii) $b^n\phi_0 \in D(a)$, $n = 0, 1, \cdots$.

Then, we may define a sequence $\{\phi_n\}$ in $\mathcal{H}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_n &\equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}}\, b^n\phi_0, \quad n = 0, 1, \cdots \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\, b\phi_{n-1}, \quad n = 1, 2, \cdots . \end{aligned}$$

If we only define the above sequence $\{\phi_n\}$ in $\mathcal{H}$, we do not need Assumption 1, (iii). However, we need Assumption 1, (iii) to define the operator $N \equiv ba$. Then we have the following

Proposition 2.1. The following statements hold.
(1) $b^n\phi_0 \in D(a^m)$ and

$$a^m b^n \phi_0 = \begin{cases} {}_nP_m b^{n-m}\phi_0 & , m \le n, \\ 0 & , m > n. \end{cases}$$

(2) $\phi_n \in D(N^m)$ and $N^m\phi_n = n^m\phi_n$, $n, m = 0, 1, \cdots$. In particular, $N\phi_n = n\phi_n$, $n = 0, 1, \cdots$.

(3)

$$a\phi_n = \begin{cases} 0 & , n = 0, \\ \sqrt{n}\phi_{n-1}, & , n = 1, 2, \cdots, \end{cases}$$

$$b\phi_n = \sqrt{n+1}\phi_{n+1} \qquad , n = 0, 1, \cdots.$$

Proof. (1) We prove this statement based on mathematical induction. We prove this statement when $m = 1$:

$$ab^n\phi_0 = \begin{cases} nb^{n-1}\phi_0 & , n = 1, 2, \cdots, \\ 0 & , n = 0. \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

Since $a\phi_0 = 0$ by Assumption 1, (i), (2.1) holds when $n = 0$. Let $n = 1$. Since $\phi_0 \in D(ab) \cap D(ba)$ by Assumption 1, (i), (iii), we have

$$ab\phi_0 = (ba + 1)\phi_0 = \phi_0. \tag{2.2}$$

Hence (2.1) holds when $n = 1$. Assume that (2.1) holds when $n = k \geq 2$, that is,

$$b^k\phi_0 \in D(a) \quad \text{and} \quad ab^k\phi_0 = kb^{k-1}\phi_0. \tag{2.3}$$

Let $n = k+1$. Then we have $b^k\phi_0 \in D(ab) \cap D(ba)$ by Assumption 1, (iii) and (2.3), which implies by making use of the commutation relation $ab - ba = I$ that

$$\begin{aligned} ab^{k+1}\phi_0 &= ab(b^k\phi_0) \\ &= (ba + I)b^k\phi_0 \\ &= bab^k\phi_0 + b^k\phi_0 \\ &= (k + 1)b^k\phi_0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the statement (2.1) holds. Assume that when $m = k$ the statement (2.1) holds: $b^n\phi_0 \in D(a^k)$ and

$$a^kb^n\phi_0 = \begin{cases} {}_nP_kb^{n-k}\phi_0 & , k \leq n, \\ 0 & , k > n. \end{cases} \tag{2.4}$$

We show the statement (2.1) when $m = k + 1$. Let $n \geq k + 1$. By (2.4), we have $b^n\phi_0 \in D(a^{k+1})$ and furthermore, by (2.4) and (2.1)

$$\begin{aligned} a^{k+1}b^n\phi_0 &= a(a^k b^n \phi_0) \\ &= a({}_nP_k b^{n-k}\phi_0) \\ &= {}_nP_k(n-k)b^{n-k-1}\phi_0 \\ &= {}_nP_{k+1}b^{n-(k+1)}\phi_0. \end{aligned}$$

Let $n = k$. By (2.4) we have $b^k\phi_0 \in D(a^{k+1})$ and

$$\begin{aligned} a^{k+1}b^k\phi_0 &= a(a^k b^k \phi_0) \\ &= k!a\phi_0 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let $n < k$. By (2.4) we have

$$a^{k+1}b^n\phi_0 = a(a^k b^n \phi_0) = 0.$$

Thus the statement (2.1) holds when $m = k + 1$. This completes the proof of (1).

(2) We prove this statement in case of $m = 1$. It follows from (1) that $\phi_n \in D(N)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} N\phi_n &= ba\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}}b^n\phi_0\right) \\ &= b\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}}nb^{n-1}\phi_0\right) \\ &= n\phi_n. \end{aligned}$$

Thus by the above statement and (1), it is easily shown that $\phi_n \in D(N^m)$ and $N^m\phi_n = n^m\phi_n$, $n, m = 0, 1, \cdots$.

(3) It follows from (1) and (2). This completes the proof.

The statement of Proposition 2.1, (2) means that N is the number operator for $\{\phi_n\}$. Next we assume the following statement.

Assumption 2. There exists a non-zero element ψ_0 of $\mathcal{H}$ such that
(i) $b^\dagger\psi_0 = 0$,
(ii) $\psi_0 \in D^\infty(a^\dagger) \equiv \cap_{k=0}^\infty D((a^\dagger)^k)$,
(iii) $(a^\dagger)^n\psi_0 \in D(b^\dagger)$, $n = 0, 1, \cdots$.

Then, we may define a sequence $\{\psi_n\}$ in $\mathcal{H}$ by

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_n &\equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}}\,(a^\dagger)^n\psi_0, \quad n = 0, 1, \cdots \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\,a^\dagger\psi_{n-1}, \quad n = 1, 2, \cdots .\end{aligned}$$

And we put an operator $N^\dagger \equiv a^\dagger b^\dagger$. Then we have the following

Proposition 2.2. The following statements hold.
(1) $(a^\dagger)^n\psi_0 \in D((b^\dagger)^m)$ *and*

$$(b^\dagger)^m(a^\dagger)^n\psi_0 = \begin{cases} {}_nP_m(a^\dagger)^{n-m}\psi_0 & , m \le n, \\ 0 & , m > n. \end{cases}$$

(2) $\psi_n \in D((N^\dagger)^m)$ *and* $(N^\dagger)^m\psi_n = n^m\psi_n$, $n, m = 0, 1, \cdots$. *In particular,* $N^\dagger\psi_n = n\psi_n$, $n = 0, 1, \cdots$.
(3)

$$a^\dagger\psi_n = \sqrt{n+1}\psi_{n+1} \qquad , n = 0, 1, \cdots ,$$

$$b^\dagger\psi_n = \begin{cases} 0 & , n = 0, \\ \sqrt{n}\psi_{n-1}, & , n = 1, 2, \cdots . \end{cases}$$

Proof. This is shown similarly to Proposition 2.1.

Here, we consider about the sequences $\{\phi_n\}$ and $\{\psi_n\}$. It is easily shown that $(\phi_n|\psi_m) = (\phi_0|\psi_0)\delta_{nm}$. From now on, we may assume that $(\phi_0|\psi_0) = 1$ without loss of generality. Then $\{\phi_n\}$ and $\{\psi_n\}$ are biorthogonal. Furthermore, we assume the following statements with respect to $\{\phi_n\}$ and $\{\psi_n\}$.

Assumption 3.
(i) Span$\{b^n\phi_0\}$ *is dense in* $\mathcal{H}$.
(ii) Span$\{(a^\dagger)^n\psi_0\}$ *is dense in* $\mathcal{H}$.

Then $D_\phi \equiv Span\{\phi_n\} = Span\{b^n\phi_0\}$ and $D_\psi \equiv Span\{\psi_n\} = Span\{(a^\dagger)^n\psi_0\}$ are dense in $\mathcal{H}$. If a pair of operator a and b acting on $\mathcal{H}$ satisfy Assumption 1-3, $(\{\phi_n\}, \{\psi_n\})$ becomes a regular biorthogonal pair. The assumption to construct the regular biorthogonal pair coincide with the definition of pseudo-bosons as originally given in Ref [8].

In Ref [2], the author have studied the general theory of regular biorthogonal pairs. In particular, it has been shown that if $(\{\phi_n\}, \{\psi_n\})$ is a regular biorthogonal pair in a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, then for any ONB $\boldsymbol{e} = \{e_n\}$ in $\mathcal{H}$, there exists a densely defined closed operator T in $\mathcal{H}$ with densely defined inverse such that $\{e_n\} \subset D(T) \cap D((T^{-1})^*)$, $\phi_n = Te_n$ and $\psi_n = (T^{-1})^* e_n$, $n = 0, 1, \cdots$ and the minimum in such operators T exists and denoted by $T_{\boldsymbol{e}}$, and furthermore there exists a unique ONB $\boldsymbol{f} = \{f_n\}$ in $\mathcal{H}$ such that $T_{\boldsymbol{f}}$ is a non-singular positive self-adjoint operator in $\mathcal{H}$.

Furthermore, the author have investigated the following operators defined by a regular biorthogonal pair $(\{\phi_n\}, \{\psi_n\})$ as follows: for any ONB $\boldsymbol{e} = \{e_n\}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{\boldsymbol{e}} &= T_{\boldsymbol{e}} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sqrt{k+1} e_k \otimes \bar{e}_{k+1} \right) T_{\boldsymbol{e}}^{-1}, \\
B_{\boldsymbol{e}} &= T_{\boldsymbol{e}} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sqrt{k+1} e_{k+1} \otimes \bar{e}_k \right) T_{\boldsymbol{e}}^{-1}, \\
A_{\boldsymbol{e}}^\dagger &= \left(T_{\boldsymbol{e}}^{-1}\right)^* \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sqrt{k+1} e_{k+1} \otimes \bar{e}_k \right) T_{\boldsymbol{e}}^*, \\
B_{\boldsymbol{e}}^\dagger &= \left(T_{\boldsymbol{e}}^{-1}\right)^* \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sqrt{k+1} e_k \otimes \bar{e}_{k+1} \right) T_{\boldsymbol{e}}^*, \\
N_{\boldsymbol{e}} &= T_{\boldsymbol{e}} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sqrt{k+1} e_{k+1} \otimes \bar{e}_{k+1} \right) T_{\boldsymbol{e}}^{-1}, \\
N_{\boldsymbol{e}}^\dagger &= \left(T_{\boldsymbol{e}}^{-1}\right)^* \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sqrt{k+1} e_{k+1} \otimes \bar{e}_{k+1} \right) T_{\boldsymbol{e}}^*,
\end{aligned}
$$

where the tensor $x \otimes \bar{y}$ of elements $x,\ y$ of $\mathcal{H}$ is defined by

$$(x \otimes \bar{y})\xi = (\xi|y)x, \quad \xi \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Indeed, these operators defined by ONB do not depend on methods of taking ONB. Furthermore, $A_{\boldsymbol{e}}$ and $B_{\boldsymbol{e}}$ are lowering and raising operators for $\{\phi_n\}$, respectively, and $A_{\boldsymbol{e}}^\dagger$ and $B_{\boldsymbol{e}}^\dagger$ are raising and lowering operators for $\{\psi_n\}$, respectively, and $N_{\boldsymbol{e}}$ and $N_{\boldsymbol{e}}^\dagger$ are number operators for $\{\phi_n\}$ and $\{\psi_n\}$, respectively, and

$$A_{\boldsymbol{e}}B_{\boldsymbol{e}} - B_{\boldsymbol{e}}A_{\boldsymbol{e}} \subset I \quad \text{and} \quad B_{\boldsymbol{e}}^\dagger A_{\boldsymbol{e}}^\dagger - A_{\boldsymbol{e}}^\dagger B_{\boldsymbol{e}}^\dagger \subset I.$$

Moreover, in Ref [2] Proposition 3.4, the following statements hold with respect to the operators $A_{\boldsymbol{e}}$, $B_{\boldsymbol{e}}$, $A_{\boldsymbol{e}}^\dagger$ and $B_{\boldsymbol{e}}^\dagger$.
(i)

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_n &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}} B_{\boldsymbol{e}}^n \phi_0, \quad n = 0, 1, \cdots, \\ \psi_n &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}} (A_{\boldsymbol{e}}^\dagger)^n \psi_0, \quad n = 0, 1, \cdots. \end{aligned}$$

(ii)

$$A_{\boldsymbol{e}} D_\phi = D_\phi, \quad B_{\boldsymbol{e}} D_\phi = D_\phi,$$

and

$$A_{\boldsymbol{e}}^\dagger D_\psi = D_\psi, \quad B_{\boldsymbol{e}}^\dagger D_\psi = D_\psi.$$

Here we apply the above results to the regular biorthogonal pairs $(\{\phi_n\}, \{\psi_n\})$ obtained by a pseudo-bosonic operators $\{a, b, a^\dagger, b^\dagger\}$ satisfying Assumption 1-3, and may construct a new pseudo-bosonic operators $\{A_{\boldsymbol{e}}, B_{\boldsymbol{e}}, A_{\boldsymbol{e}}^\dagger, B_{\boldsymbol{e}}^\dagger\}$. Therefore, we investigate the connections between the operators $a,\ b,\ a^\dagger,\ b^\dagger$ and the operators $A_{\boldsymbol{e}},\ B_{\boldsymbol{e}},\ A_{\boldsymbol{e}}^\dagger,\ B_{\boldsymbol{e}}^\dagger$.

We put

$$\begin{aligned} a_\phi = a\lceil_{D_\phi} \qquad &\text{and} \qquad b_\phi = b\lceil_{D_\phi}, \\ (a^\dagger)_\psi = a^\dagger\lceil_{D_\psi} \qquad &\text{and} \qquad (b^\dagger)_\psi = b^\dagger\lceil_{D_\psi}. \end{aligned}$$

For relationships between $a_\phi,\ b_\phi$ and $A_{\boldsymbol{e}},\ B_{\boldsymbol{e}}$, we have the following

Lemma 2.3. The following statements hold.
(1) $a_\phi = A_{\boldsymbol{e}}\lceil_{D_\phi}$ *and* $b_\phi = B_{\boldsymbol{e}}\lceil_{D_\phi}$.
(2) $\bar{a}_\phi \subset \bar{A}_{\boldsymbol{e}}$ *and* $\bar{b}_\phi \subset \bar{B}_{\boldsymbol{e}}$.
(3) If $T_{\boldsymbol{e}}^{-1}$ *is bounded, then* $A_{\boldsymbol{e}}$ *and* $B_{\boldsymbol{e}}$ *are closed, that is,* $\bar{a}_\phi \subset A_{\boldsymbol{e}}$ *and* $\bar{b}_\phi \subset B_{\boldsymbol{e}}$.
(4) If $T_{\boldsymbol{e}}$ *is bounded, then* $\bar{a}_\phi = \bar{A}_{\boldsymbol{e}}$ *and* $\bar{b}_\phi = \bar{B}_{\boldsymbol{e}}$.
(5) If $(\{\phi_n\}, \{\psi_n\})$ *is a pair of Riesz base, that is,* $T_{\boldsymbol{e}}$ *and* $T_{\boldsymbol{e}}^{-1}$ *are bounded, then* $\bar{a}_\phi = A_{\boldsymbol{e}}$ *and* $\bar{b}_\phi = B_{\boldsymbol{e}}$.

Proof. (1) This follows from Proposition 2.1, (3).
(2) Take an arbitrary $x \in D(\bar{a}_\phi)$. Then there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in D_ϕ such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = x$ and $\bar{a}_\phi x = \lim_{n\to\infty} a_\phi x_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} A_{\boldsymbol{e}} x_n$. Thus, we have $x \in D(\bar{A}_{\boldsymbol{e}})$ and $\bar{A}_{\boldsymbol{e}} x = \bar{a}_\phi x$. Therefore, we have $\bar{a}_\phi \subset \bar{A}_{\boldsymbol{e}}$.
(3) Since $A_{\boldsymbol{e}}$ and $B_{\boldsymbol{e}}$ are closed by Ref. [1], it follows from (1) that $\bar{a}_\phi \subset A_{\boldsymbol{e}}$ and $\bar{b}_\phi \subset B_{\boldsymbol{e}}$.
(4) Since D_ϕ is a core for $\bar{A}_{\boldsymbol{e}}$ and $\bar{B}_{\boldsymbol{e}}$ by Ref. [2], it follows from (1) that $\bar{A}_{\boldsymbol{e}} = \overline{A_{\boldsymbol{e}}\lceil_{D_\phi}} = \bar{a}_\phi$ and $\bar{B}_{\boldsymbol{e}} = \overline{B_{\boldsymbol{e}}\lceil_{D_\phi}} = \bar{b}_\phi$.
(5) This follows from (2) and (3).

For relationships between $a_\psi^\dagger$, $b_\psi^\dagger$ and $A_\psi^\dagger$, $B_\psi^\dagger$, we have following

Lemma 2.4. The following statements hold.
(1) $(a^\dagger)_\psi = A_{\boldsymbol{e}}^\dagger\lceil_{D_\psi}$ *and* $(b^\dagger)_\psi = B_{\boldsymbol{e}}^\dagger\lceil_{D_\psi}$.
(2) $\bar{a}_\psi^\dagger \subset \bar{A}_{\boldsymbol{e}}^\dagger$ *and* $\bar{b}_\psi^\dagger \subset \bar{B}_{\boldsymbol{e}}^\dagger$.
(3) If $T_{\boldsymbol{e}}$ *is bounded, then* $A_{\boldsymbol{e}}^\dagger$ *and* $B_{\boldsymbol{e}}^\dagger$ *are closed, that is,* $\bar{a}_\psi^\dagger \subset A_{\boldsymbol{e}}^\dagger$ *and* $\bar{b}_\psi^\dagger \subset B_{\boldsymbol{e}}^\dagger$.
(4) If $T_{\boldsymbol{e}}^{-1}$ *is bounded, then* $\bar{a}_\psi^\dagger = \bar{A}_{\boldsymbol{e}}^\dagger$ *and* $\bar{b}_\psi^\dagger = \bar{B}_{\boldsymbol{e}}^\dagger$.
(5) If $(\{\phi_n\}, \{\psi_n\})$ *is a pair of Riesz base, that is,* $T_{\boldsymbol{e}}$ *and* $T_{\boldsymbol{e}}^{-1}$ *are bounded, then* $\bar{a}_\psi^\dagger = A_{\boldsymbol{e}}^\dagger$ *and* $\bar{b}_\psi^\dagger = B_{\boldsymbol{e}}^\dagger$.

Proof. The statements are proved similarly to Lemma 2.3.

Proposition 2.5. The following statements hold.
(1) Suppose D_ϕ *is a core for* $\bar{a}$ *and* $\bar{b}$*, then* $\bar{a} \subset \bar{A}_{\boldsymbol{e}}$ *and* $\bar{b} \subset \bar{B}_{\boldsymbol{e}}$*. In particular, if* $T_{\boldsymbol{e}}^{-1}$ *is bounded, then* $\bar{a} \subset A_{\boldsymbol{e}}$ *and* $\bar{b} \subset B_{\boldsymbol{e}}$*, and if* $T_{\boldsymbol{e}}$ *is bounded, then* $\bar{a} = \bar{A}_{\boldsymbol{e}}$ *and* $\bar{b} = \bar{B}_{\boldsymbol{e}}$.
(2) Suppose D_ψ *is a core for* $\bar{a}^\dagger$ *and* $\bar{b}^\dagger$*, then* $\bar{a}^\dagger \subset \bar{A}_{\boldsymbol{e}}^\dagger$ *and* $\bar{b}^\dagger \subset \bar{B}_{\boldsymbol{e}}^\dagger$*. In par-*

ticular, if $T_{\boldsymbol{e}}$ is bounded, then $\bar{a}^\dagger \subset A_{\boldsymbol{e}}^\dagger$ and $\bar{b}^\dagger \subset B_{\boldsymbol{e}}^\dagger$, and if $T_{\boldsymbol{e}}^{-1}$ is bounded, then $\bar{a}^\dagger = \bar{A}_{\boldsymbol{e}}^\dagger$ and $\bar{b}^\dagger = \bar{B}_{\boldsymbol{e}}^\dagger$.

3 A construction of $\mathcal{D}$-pseudo bosons

In Section 2, we investigate the relationships between regular biorthogonal pairs and pseudo-bosons, and the connections between the pseudo-bosonic operators $a,\ b,\ a^\dagger,\ b^\dagger$ and the pseudo-bosonic operators $A_{\boldsymbol{e}},\ B_{\boldsymbol{e}},\ A_{\boldsymbol{e}}^\dagger,\ B_{\boldsymbol{e}}^\dagger$. By Proposition 2.1, (3) and Proposition 2.2, (3), $\{a, b\}$ and $\{a^\dagger, b^\dagger\}$ have an algebraic structure, respectively, that is, algebras generated by $\{1, a\lceil_{D_\phi}, b\lceil_{D_\phi}\}$ and by $\{1, a^\dagger\lceil_{D_\psi}, b^\dagger\lceil_{D_\psi}\}$ are defined, respectively. However, $\{1, a, b, a^\dagger, b^\dagger\}$ do not have a $*$-algebraic structure, in general. From this reason, we define the notion of $\mathcal{D}$-pseudo bosons in Ref [6, 5] Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a dense subspace in a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. We denote by $\mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D})$ the set of all linear operators T from $\mathcal{D}$ to $\mathcal{D}$ such that $T^*\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{D}$. Here T^* is the adjoint of T. $\mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D})$ is a $*$-algebra under the usual operators αT, $S+T$, ST and an involution $T^\dagger \equiv T^*\lceil_{\mathcal{D}}$, and a $*$-subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D})$ is called an $\mathcal{O}^*$-algebra on $\mathcal{D}$ in Ref [3].

Definition 3.1. A pair of operator a and b is $\mathcal{D}$-pseudo bosons if a, $b \in \mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D})$ satisfy the following conditions (i), (ii) and (iii):
(i) $ab - ba = I$.
(ii) There exists a non-zero element $\phi_0 \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $a\phi_0 = 0$.
(iii) There exists a non-zero element $\psi_0 \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $b^\dagger\psi_0 = 0$.

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be any separable Hilbert space. We construct $\mathcal{D}$-pseudo bosons on the following steps:

Step 1. Take an arbitrary ONB $\boldsymbol{e} = \{e_n\}$ in $\mathcal{H}$. We put

$$\mathcal{D} \equiv \left\{ x \in \mathcal{H};\ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k+1)^n |(x|e_n)|^2 < \infty,\ n = 0, 1, \cdots \right\}.$$

Then $\mathcal{D}$ is a dense subspace in $\mathcal{H}$ such that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sqrt{k+1}(e_k \otimes \bar{e}_{k+1})\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{D}$$

and

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\sqrt{k+1}(e_{k+1}\otimes\bar{e}_k)\mathcal{D}\subset\mathcal{D}.$$

Step 2. Take an arbitrary operator T and the inverse T^{-1} in $\mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D})$.

Step 3. We define operators A and B on $\mathcal{D}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} A &= T\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\sqrt{k+1}e_k\otimes\bar{e}_{k+1}\right)T^{-1}, \\ B &= T\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\sqrt{k+1}e_{k+1}\otimes\bar{e}_k\right)T^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} A^\dagger &= \left(T^{-1}\right)^\dagger\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\sqrt{k+1}e_{k+1}\otimes\bar{e}_k\right)T^\dagger, \\ B^\dagger &= \left(T^{-1}\right)^\dagger\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\sqrt{k+1}e_k\otimes\bar{e}_{k+1}\right)T^\dagger, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$AB-BA=I \quad \text{on } \mathcal{D}.$$

Furthermore, we put

$$\phi_n=Te_n, \quad \psi_n=(T^{-1})^\dagger e_n, \quad n=0,1,\cdots.$$

Then $(\{\phi_n\},\{\psi_n\})$ is a regular biorthogonal pair, and A and B are lowering and raising operators for $\{\phi_n\}$, respectively, and $A^\dagger$ and $B^\dagger$ are raising and lowering operators for $\{\psi_n\}$, respectively. Thus we have the following

Theorem 3.2. For any ONB $\boldsymbol{e}=\{e_n\}$ in $\mathcal{H}$, we may construct operators A and B satisfying $\mathcal{D}$-pseudo bosons.

By a method of taking an ONB $\boldsymbol{e}=\{e_n\}$ and a operator T satisfying Step 2, we can construct many different $\mathcal{D}$-pseudo bosons.

4 Examples of $\mathcal{D}$-pseudo bosons

In this section, we give some physical examples of $\mathcal{D}$-pseudo bosons constructed by Step 1-3 in Section 3. We may construct various models by a method of taking the ONB $\boldsymbol{f} = \{f_n\}$ in the Hilbert space $L^2(\boldsymbol{R})$ consisting of

$$f_n(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n n!}} H_n(x) e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2},$$

where $H_n(x)$ is the nth Hermite polynomial, and an operator T in $L^2(\boldsymbol{R})$ satisfying Step 2.

Let $S(\boldsymbol{R})$ be the Schwartz space of all infinitely differentiable rapidly decreasing functions on $\boldsymbol{R}$. The ONB $\boldsymbol{f} = \{f_n\}$ is contained in $S(\boldsymbol{R})$. We define the momentum operator p and the position operator q by

$$\begin{cases} D(p): \text{ the set of all differentiable functions } f \text{ on } \boldsymbol{R} \text{ such that } \frac{df}{dx} \in L^2(\boldsymbol{R}), \\ (pf)(x) = -i\frac{df}{dx}, \quad f \in D(p), \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} D(q) = \left\{ f \in L^2(\boldsymbol{R});\ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |xf(x)|^2 dx < \infty \right\}, \\ (qf)(x) = xf(x), \quad f \in D(q). \end{cases}$$

These operators p and q are self-adjoint operators in $L^2(\boldsymbol{R})$ and $S(\boldsymbol{R})$ is a core for p and q, and furthermore $pS(\boldsymbol{R}) \subset S(\boldsymbol{R})$ and $qS(\boldsymbol{R}) \subset S(\boldsymbol{R})$. We introduce the standard bosonic operators $a = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(q+ip)$ and $a^\dagger = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(q-ip)$, which obey $[a, a^\dagger] = I$. Here we consider some examples

Example 1. (The extended quantum harmonic oscillator)
Let $\beta > 0$. We put

$$T = e^{-\frac{1}{\beta^2}} e^{\frac{a+a^\dagger}{\beta}} = e^{-\frac{1}{\beta^2}\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\beta}q}.$$

Then T and T^{-1} are self-adjoint operators in $L^2(\boldsymbol{R})$ such that $TS(\boldsymbol{R}) \subset S(\boldsymbol{R})$ and $T^{-1}S(\boldsymbol{R}) \subset S(\boldsymbol{R})$. We denote the restriction T to $S(\boldsymbol{R})$ by the same T. Thus the ONB $\boldsymbol{f} = \{f_n\}$ in $L^2(\boldsymbol{R})$ and T satisfy the conditions of Step

1-3 in Section 3 for $\mathcal{D} \equiv S(\boldsymbol{R})$. Hence we may construct $\mathcal{D}$-pseudo bosonic operators

$$\begin{aligned} A &= T\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sqrt{k+1} f_k \otimes \bar{f}_{k+1}\right) T^{-1}, \\ B &= T\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sqrt{k+1} f_{k+1} \otimes \bar{f}_k\right) T^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Then it is shown that $A = a - \frac{1}{\beta}$ and $B = a^\dagger + \frac{1}{\beta}$, and the non self-adjoint hamiltonian $H_\beta = \frac{\beta}{2}(p^2+q^2) + \sqrt{2}ip$, introduced in Ref [5] and Ref [6], can be written by

$$H_\beta = \beta\left(BA + \frac{2+\beta^2}{2\beta^2} I\right).$$

Example 2. (The Swanson model)
Let θ be a real parameter value in $(-\frac{\pi}{4}, \frac{\pi}{4}) \setminus \{0\}$. We put

$$T = e^{i\frac{\theta}{2}(a^2 - a^{\dagger 2})} = e^{-\frac{\theta}{2}(qp+pq)}.$$

Then T and T^{-1} are self-adjoint operators in $L^2(\boldsymbol{R})$ such that $TS(\boldsymbol{R}) \subset S(\boldsymbol{R})$ and $T^{-1}S(\boldsymbol{R}) \subset S(\boldsymbol{R})$. We denote the restriction T to $S(\boldsymbol{R})$ by the same T. Thus the ONB $\boldsymbol{f} = \{f_n\}$ in $L^2(\boldsymbol{R})$ and T satisfy the conditions of Step 1-3 in Section 3 for $\mathcal{D} \equiv S(\boldsymbol{R})$. Hence we may construct $\mathcal{D}$-pseudo bosonic operators

$$\begin{aligned} A &= T\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sqrt{k+1} f_k \otimes \bar{f}_{k+1}\right) T^{-1}, \\ B &= T\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sqrt{k+1} f_{k+1} \otimes \bar{f}_k\right) T^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Then it is shown that $A = \cos(\theta)\, a + i \sin(\theta)\, a^\dagger$ and $B = \cos(\theta)\, a^\dagger + i \sin(\theta)\, a$, and the non self-adjoint hamiltonian $H_\theta = \frac{1}{2}(p^2+q^2) - \frac{i}{2}\tan(2\theta)(p^2-q^2)$, introduced in Ref [6] and Ref [10], can be written by

$$H_\theta = \frac{1}{\cos(2\theta)}\left(BA + \frac{1}{2} I\right).$$

In next Example 3 and 4 we shall take the operators T that are different to those in Example 1 and 2, respectively to construct various models.

Example 3. Let $\beta > 0$. We put

$$T = e^{\frac{1}{\beta^2}} e^{i\frac{a-a^\dagger}{\beta}} = e^{-\frac{1}{\beta^2}\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\beta}p}.$$

Then T and T^{-1} are self-adjoint operators in $L^2(\boldsymbol{R})$ such that $TS(\boldsymbol{R}) \subset S(\boldsymbol{R})$ and $T^{-1}S(\boldsymbol{R}) \subset S(\boldsymbol{R})$. We denote the restriction T to $S(\boldsymbol{R})$ by the same T. Thus the ONB $\boldsymbol{f} = \{f_n\}$ in $L^2(\boldsymbol{R})$ and T satisfy the conditions of Step 1-3 in Section 3 for $\mathcal{D} \equiv S(\boldsymbol{R})$. Hence we may construct $\mathcal{D}$-pseudo bosonic operators

$$\begin{aligned} A &= T\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sqrt{k+1} f_k \otimes \bar{f}_{k+1}\right) T^{-1}, \\ B &= T\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sqrt{k+1} f_{k+1} \otimes \bar{f}_k\right) T^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Then it is shown that $A = a + \frac{i}{\beta}$ and $B = a^\dagger + \frac{i}{\beta}$.

Example 4. Let θ be a real parameter value in $(-\frac{\pi}{4}, \frac{\pi}{4}) \setminus \{0\}$. We put

$$T = e^{\frac{\theta}{2}(a^2 - a^{\dagger 2})} = e^{i\frac{\theta}{2}(qp+pq)}.$$

Then T and T^{-1} are non self-adjoint operators in $L^2(\boldsymbol{R})$ such that $TS(\boldsymbol{R}) \subset S(\boldsymbol{R})$ and $T^{-1}S(\boldsymbol{R}) \subset S(\boldsymbol{R})$. We denote the restriction T to $S(\boldsymbol{R})$ by the same T. Thus the ONB $\boldsymbol{f} = \{f_n\}$ in $L^2(\boldsymbol{R})$ and T satisfy the conditions of Step 1-3 in Section 3 for $\mathcal{D} \equiv S(\boldsymbol{R})$. Hence we may construct $\mathcal{D}$-pseudo bosonic operators

$$\begin{aligned} A &= T\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sqrt{k+1} f_k \otimes \bar{f}_{k+1}\right) T^{-1}, \\ B &= T\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sqrt{k+1} f_{k+1} \otimes \bar{f}_k\right) T^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Then it is shown that $A = \cosh(\theta)\ a + \sinh(\theta)\ a^\dagger$ and $B = \cosh(\theta)\ a^\dagger + \sinh(\theta)\ a$.

References

[1] H. Inoue and M. Takakura, Non-self-adjoint hamiltonians defined by generalized Riesz bases, e-print., arXiv:math-ph/1604.00161

[2] H. Inoue, General theory of regular biorthogonal pairs and its physical applications, e-print., arXiv:math-ph/1604.01967

[3] K. Schmüdgen, Unbounded Operator Algebras and Representation Theory, Birkhäuser-Verlag., Basel, 1990

[4] F. Bagarello, Non-self-adjoint hamiltonians defined by Riesz bases, J. Math. Phys., **55**(2014), 033501

[5] F. Bagarello and A. Inoue and C. Trapani, From self to non self-adjoint harmonic oscillators: physical consequences and mathematical pitfalls, Phys. Rev. A., **88**(2013), 032120

[6] F. Bagarello, More mathematics for pseudo-bosons, J. Math. Phys., **54**(2013), 063512

[7] F. Bagarello, (Regular) pseudo-bosons versus bosons, J. Phys. A., **44**(2011), 015205

[8] F. Bagarello, Pseudobosons, Riesz bases, and coherent states, J. Math. Phys., **51**(2010), 023531

[9] A. Mostafazadeh, Pseudo-Hermitian representation of Quantum Mechanics, Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys., **7**(2010), 1191-1306

[10] J. da Providência and N. Bebiano and J. P. da Providência, Non hermitian operators with real spectrum in quantum mechanics, ELA., **21**(2010), 98-109

[11] D.A. Trifonov, Pseudo-boson coherent and Fock states, e-print., arXiv:quant-ph/0902.3744

Graduate School of Mathematics, Kyushu University, 744 Motooka, Nishi-ku, Fukuoka 819-0395, Japan
h-inoue@math.kyushu-u.ac.jp,

Department of Applied Mathematics, Fukuoka University, Fukuoka 814-0180, Japan
mayumi@fukuoka-u.ac.jp,